Review on Top. Spaces

Let X be a top. space which maybe has some nice properties. Let $\pi: Y \to X$ be a cont. map. This defines a sheaf on X via $\mathcal{U} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathcal{U}) = \tilde{i} f: \mathcal{U} \to Y$ cont., $\pi \circ f = i d\tilde{s}$. This is in a sense the "main example". Sheaf of sections

Exercise 14: (See Ex. II.1.14 in Hardshorne) Prove that for any sheat of cets F on X, there is a top. space [F] and a continuous map $\pi: [F] \rightarrow X$ with the following properties: 1) F is the sheat of sections of π . 2) π is a local homeomorphism. 3) for all $x \in X$, $\pi^{-1}(x) = F_X$.

Usually, [F] is not Hausdorff. For example if XEX and F= Zx is a skyscraper sheaf at x, then [F] is X itself, except over x, there are countably many points which cannot be separated.

Set Sh(X) = abelian category of sheaves of abelian groups on X (there are some variants of this, depending on what X is). Note <math>Sh(X) has enough injectives (is a Grothundieck category), so we can take the right derived functors of $\Gamma^{2}(X, -)$ for an injective resolution:

 $\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \rightarrow F \rightarrow I^{\circ} \rightarrow I^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots \\ \Rightarrow \bigcirc \neg \varGamma(x, F) \rightarrow \varGamma(x, I^{\circ}) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \end{array}$ $\Rightarrow H^{i}(x, F) = H^{i}(-++-)$

H° is a cohomological functor, i.e. satisfies the axioms for a cohomology theory. If f: X >> Y is a continuous map, we have the direct image functor: $f_X F(U) = F(f^{-1}(U))$, which maps $Sh(X) \rightarrow Sh(Y)$. Note if Y = pt., $f_X = \Gamma(X, -)$, so this is a generalization. This is also left exact. Taking right derived functors, $R^i f_X F = H^i(f_X I^{\circ})$, where I° is an injective resolution of F, and are called higher direct images.

<u>Def</u>: Let G: $d \rightarrow 73$ be a left exact functor between abelian categories. An object A in d is G-acyclic if $R^i G(A) = 0$ for i > 0 (Assuming enough injectives).

(#) Prop: To derive G in the above context, one can use resolutions of acyclic objects.

An example is a cont. map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the flabby sheaves on X are acyclic with respect to f_X . Indeed an injective sheaf is flabby. The proof uses the extension functor, which we review later.

Can we visualize higher direct images? By defin, $R^i + F$ is a sheaf-ification of the presheaf $V \mapsto H^i(f^{-1}(V), F)$ on Y. One might notice this is a "cohomology of fibers".

Then (Proper Base Change): If I is proper, $(R^{i}f_{s}F)_{y} \cong H^{i}(X_{y}, Fl_{x_{y}}).$

Grothundieck Spectral Seguence

Let 10 ~ B B C be a left exact functor. Suppose 16, 13 have enough injectives. Suppose a takes injectives to B-acyclic. Thus there is a spectral sequence, with

Let do be an abdium artigary. When $K(b)$ by adjust being completes in the sumptions being chain maps, it lectical at guasi-immerphines. This gives the Durind subgery $D(w)$. Main Property: $D(w)$ is triangelold. If $f: d \to B$ is left cosed between abdium adaptives, we get right derived functors $R_{2}^{2}: d \to B$ is left cosed between abdium adaptives, we get right derived functors $R_{2}^{2}: d \to B$ is left cosed between abdium adaptives, $D(x)$ by sending $0 \to X \to 0 \to 0$ to the cohorder of $R_{2}^{2}: d \to B$ is left cosed between abdium $R_{2}^{2}: D(d) \to D(T)$ by sending $0 \to X \to 0 \to 0$ to the cohorder of $R_{2}^{2}: d \to B$. The ample $f(0 \to X \to 0 \to 0 \to -)$. If we have $d = B d Z$, we can get derived functors $D(d) \stackrel{D}{\to} D(T) \stackrel{D}{\to} D(T) \stackrel{D}{\to} D(G)$, and one can see $D(q+P) \cdot Dq+Df$ (a bit inter that the Gordburdeck spectral sequence !). Setting $D(X) = D(sh(X), X = thp, space, and f: X \to Y continuous, we get:D_{1}^{2}: D(X) \to D(Y) [Still an adjoint functor is f_{1}^{2} and is and divinguised triangles:eind Z = i \times a^{2} \cup U^{m}.Y = Sk(S) \to sk(Y) produces Df_{2}: D(X) \to D(Y). The adjoint functor is f_{1}^{2} and is and divinguised triangles:eind Z = i \times a^{2} \cup U^{m}.Y = Sk(S), Rigiel F \to F \to Rigeo j^{*}F, and Rigies F \to F \to Rigeie^{*}F. So we get have the form Z = i \times a^{2} \cup U^{m}.F(X, Rigiel F) \to H^{*}(X, Rigeig F) \to H^{*}(X, Rigeig F) \to \cdots (seene for the other)Example:F(X, Rigeie F) \to H^{*}(X, Rigeig F) \to H^{*}(X, Rigeig F) \to \cdots (seene for the other)Example:F(X, Rigeie R) = his the H(U, Q_{N}) and H^{*}(X, Rigeig F) \to \cdots (seene for the other)Example:F(X, Rigeie R) = in Algebrain TryptogeN$. Thus: $TF X$ is precembered, then $H^{*}(X, F) = H^{*}(X, F)$. $Example:$ It is $H^{*}(U, Q_{N}) = M = H^{*}(X, F) = H^{*}(X, F)$. $Example:$ It X is precembered. A given $0 \to j_{1} \otimes Q_{N} \to i_{2} \otimes Q_{N} \to 0$, when $i_{1} \to i_{2} \otimes Q_{N} \to 0$. Cose = Converted. From in Algebrain Tryptoge ^N .	Derived Categories
D(w). Main Property: D(vb) is trianglolid. If f: vb -B is left exact between abelian adaptives, we get right derived functors Rf: vb -B. However we can define $Rf: D(A) \rightarrow D(f_{D})$ by scaling $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ to the colondry of the complet $f(0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 - 1)$. If we have $vb \stackrel{I}{\rightarrow} B \stackrel{I}{\rightarrow} E_{\perp}$, we can get derived functors $D(A) \stackrel{D^2}{\rightarrow} D(F_{D}) \stackrel{D^2}{\rightarrow} D(F_{D}) \stackrel{D^2}{\rightarrow} D(F_{D})$ and one can see $D(g_{P}) \cdot Dg_{D} D(f$ (a bit sizer than the Gorthuberks sequence!). Setting $D(X) = D(e_{R}(X), X = trp. space, and f: X \rightarrow Y continuous, we get:Df_{H}: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y) [Still an adjointf^*: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)] still an adjointf^*: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)] primeC = true during the derived carbogeries. (Rf_{I}, f^{I}) is the adjoint functor is f^{I} and isand f = true for of derived carbogeries. (Rf_{I}, f^{I}) is the adjoint pair. We have two main derivations of derived carbogeries. (Rf_{I}, f^{I}) is the adjoint pair. We have two main derivations of derived carbogeries. (Rf_{I}, f^{I}) is the adjoint pair. We have two main derivations of hyperselowed bases? \cdots \rightarrow H^{I}(X, R_{I} \circ I) \rightarrow H^{I}(X, E) \rightarrow H^{I}(X, R_{I} \circ I)^{I} E \rightarrow E \rightarrow Ri_{I} \circ I^{I} E. So we getMg could represent of hyperselowed bases?\cdots \rightarrow H^{I}(X, R_{I} \circ I) \rightarrow H^{I}(X, E) \rightarrow H^{I}(X, R_{I} \circ I)^{I} E \rightarrow \cdots (same for the other)Example:Fix F = \Omega_{F}. Then the second \Delta gives 0 \rightarrow j, \Omega_{U} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \rightarrow i_{A} \Omega_{Q}, we canderive this to H^{I}(U, \Omega_{U}) and H^{I}(Z, \Omega_{Q}). (Actually the first \Delta is before forthese.\frac{F(E, Colonaday}{F}.See "Differendial forms in Algebrain Topology".Then IF X is preserved, then \tilde{H}^{I}(Y, F) \cong H^{I}(X, F).Exercise: Prove EX. TH. 4.11 in Hordolene.Eucrybe: Let X be a volume and F \in QCh(X). Take X separaded and U_{I} -Spec A_{I} anapper covering of Allows. Then H^{I}(U_{I}, F) = 0 for is 0, and see the above exercise.$	Let it be an abelian category. Define K(16) by objects being complexes in it, and morphisms being chain maps, if localized at quasi-isomorphisms, this gives the Derived category
$\begin{array}{rcl} \label{eq:main_series} \hline D(xb) & is trangelided.\\ \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	D(4).
If $f: d \to B$ is left event between abelian adaptites, we get right derived functors Rf: $(d \to TS. However we can define Rf: D(d) \to D(fS) by sending 0 \to x \to 0 \to 0 tothe colorings of the complex f(0 \to x \to 0 \to \infty).If we have d \neq F B \neq G, we can get derived functors D(d) \xrightarrow{Dd} D(fS) \xrightarrow{Dd} D(G),and one can see D(g \bullet F) \star Dg \star Df (a bit incer then the Grithendeeck spectral sequence!).Setting D(X) \star D(Sh(X), X = top. space, and f: X \to Y continuents, we get:Df_{i}: D(X) \to D(Sh(X)) = X = top. space, and f: X \to Y continuents, we get:Df_{i}: D(X) \to D(Sh(X)) = gair Tf = top gain for the adjoint = f^{i} = D(X) = D(X) = f(X) pair Tf = top gain for the top gain f(X) = D(Y). The adjoint functor is f^{i} and isalso f_{i}: Sh(X) \to Sh(Y) = produces Df_{i}: D(X) \to D(Y). The adjoint functor is f^{i} and isalso gain distinguished triangles: z = x \to z \to UT \in Sh(X), Ri_{i}: f(F) \to H^{i}(X, F) \to H^{i}(X, Ri_{i}: g^{i}) \to \cdots (same for the other)Example:Fixe F = Q_{i}. Then the second A gives 0 \to j_{i}: Q_{i} \to Q_{i} \to j_{i} \oplus Q_{i} \to 0,which is just a sequence of stance. So if we would H^{i}(X, Q_{i}), we can compare this to H^{i}(U, Q_{i}), and H^{i}(X, Q_{i}). (Attally the first A is lefter forthis.f = D(Rimeter A = Sequence A = Sin (X, F), So (A = Minor A = Sin (X, Q_{i}), we can compare this to H^{i}(U, Q_{i}), and H^{i}(X, Q_{i}), (A = Minor A = Sequence A = Sin (X, F), (A = Minor A = Sin (X, Q_{i}), we can compare this to H^{i}(U, Q_{i}), and H^{i}(X, Q_{i}), (A = Minor A = Sin (X, Q_{i}), we can compare the to H^{i}(U, Q_{i}), and H^{i}(X, P) \to H^{i}(X, F), (A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sequence A = Sequence A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sequence A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sequence A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sequence A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sequence A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sequence A = Sequence A = Sin (X, P), (A = Sin (X, P), (A = A = Sin (X, P)))f(z, R_{i}) = f(z, R_{i}) = f(z, R_{i}) = f(z, P) $	Main Property: D(vb) is triangulated.
The considery of the complex $H(O = X = 0 = 0, \dots, N)$. If we have $sh \stackrel{+}{\to} B \stackrel{+}{\to} E_{-}$ we can get derived functors $D(s) \stackrel{D+}{\to} D(D) \stackrel{D}{\to} D(G)$, and one can see $D(q_{0}r) = D_{0}rDr(a)$ bit where then the Grithendeck spectral sequence!). Setting $D(X) = D(Sh(X), X = top. space, and f: X \rightarrow Y continuous, we get:Df_{0}: D(X) \rightarrow D(X) Still an adjointf^{0}: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X) Still an adjointf^{0}: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X) priceAlso f_{1}: Sh(Y) \rightarrow D(X) produces Df_{1}: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y). The adjoint functor is f^{1} and iscally a fluctor of derived categories. (Ref, f^{1}) is the adjoint pair. We have twomain distinguished triangles:eventZ = c^{1} \times x = F \rightarrow Riy \circ j^{0}F, and Rj_{1}: j^{1}F \rightarrow F \rightarrow Ri_{0}s^{1}r \in S we getlong could symmets of hypercohamology:\dots \rightarrow H^{0}(X, R_{1}; i; F) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, F) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, R_{1}; j^{0}F) \rightarrow \dots (same for the other)Example:Fix F = Q_{X}. Then the second A gives 0 \rightarrow j_{1}(Q_{U} \rightarrow Q_{X} \rightarrow i_{0}(R_{2} \rightarrow 0),which is just a sequence of showes. So if we would H'(X, Q_{X}), wecan compare this to H^{0}(U, Q_{X}) and H^{1}(Z, Q_{2}). (Athelly the first A is belier forthis.)\frac{Z'}{cell} (chamology\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in Algebrain Topology1).\frac{Z'}{cell} (chamology\frac{Z'}{cell} (chamology\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in Algebrain Topology1).\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in Algebrain Topology1).\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in Algebrain Topology1).\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in the therefore,\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in the thereforme, \frac{Z'}{cell} (chings). Then H'(Y,F), \frac{Z'}{cell} (ching in the chamology)\frac{Z'}{cell} (chings in the first in Topology1.$	If $f: b \rightarrow B$ is left exact between abelian categories, we get right derived functors $R^{i}f: b \rightarrow B$. However we can define $Rf: D(b) \rightarrow D(fs)$ by sending $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ to H
If we have $ds \stackrel{r}{\to} g $	The cohomdogy of the complex + (0 -> x -> 0 -> 0 ->).
Setting $D(X) = D(sh(X), X a top. space, and f: X \rightarrow Y continuous, we get:Df_{w}: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y) Still an adjointf^{*}: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X) pair(already exactAlso f_{1}: Sh(X) \rightarrow Sh(Y) produces Df_{1}: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y). The adjoint functor is f^{1} and isonly a functor of derived contegories. (Ref_{1}, f^{1}) is the adjoint pair. We have twomain distinguished triangles:Cash = Cash = Cas$	If we have $t \to B \to G$, we can get derived functors $D(t) \to D(t) \to D(t)$, and one can see $D(gof) = Dg \cdot Df$ (a bit nicer then the Grothendieck spectral sequence!).
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Setting $D(X) = D(sh(X), X \ a \ top. space, and f: X \rightarrow Y \ continuous, we get:$
Talready exact Also $f_{i} : Sh(X) \rightarrow Sh(Y)$ produces $Df_{i} : D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$. The adjoint functor is f_{i}^{i} and is all a functor of derived categories. (Rfj, f_{i}^{i}) is the adjoint pair. We have two main distinguished triangles: $Cont = Z \leftarrow J = X \leftarrow J = U$ $\forall F \in Sh(X), Rijei! F \rightarrow F \rightarrow Rj_{4}\circ j^{4}F$, and $Rj_{1}\circ j^{1}F \rightarrow F \rightarrow Ri_{5}\circ i^{2}F$. So we get $log exact segures of hyperchanology: \dots \rightarrow H^{0}(X, Rijei!F) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, F) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, Rj_{i}\circ j^{2}F) \rightarrow \dots (same for the other)Example:Fix F = Q_{X}. Then the second A gives 0 \rightarrow j_{1}Q_{U} \rightarrow Q_{X} \rightarrow i_{4}Q_{Z} \rightarrow 0,which is just a segure of shows. So if we would H^{i}(X, Q_{2}), wecan compare this to H^{i}(U, Q_{W}) and H^{i}(Z, Q_{2}). (Actually the first A is belier forthis.)Cech CohomologySee "Differential forms in Algebraic Topology".Then: If X is paracompact, then H^{i}(X,F) \neq H^{i}(X,F).Exercise: Prove Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne.Exemple: Let X be a scheme and F \in QCh(X). Take X separated and Ui SpecAi anopen covering of affines. Then H^{i}(U_{i},F) = 0 for i>0, and see the above exercise.$	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathcal{D}f_{x}: \mathcal{D}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(Y) \end{array} \\ f^{*}: \mathcal{D}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Still an adjoint} \\ pair \end{array}$
Also $f_{1}:Sh(X) \rightarrow Sh(Y)$ produces $Df_{1}: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$. The adjoint functor is $f_{1}^{!}$ and is only a functor of derived categories. $(Rf_{1}, f_{1}^{!})$ is the adjoint pair. We have two main distinguished triangles: $Z \xrightarrow{(-)} X \xrightarrow{(-)} U$ $\forall F \in Sh(X), Ri_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{i} \stackrel{!}{F} \rightarrow F \rightarrow Rj_{X} \stackrel{\circ}{o} \stackrel{*}{J} \stackrel{*}{F}, and Rj_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{o} \stackrel{!}{J} \stackrel{*}{F} \rightarrow F \rightarrow Ri_{2} \stackrel{\circ}{o} \stackrel{*}{i} \stackrel{*}{F}$. So we get $log exact segunces of hypercohomology: \dots \rightarrow H^{0}(X, R_{i}, \stackrel{\circ}{i} \stackrel{!}{F}) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, F) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, Rj_{i} \stackrel{\circ}{o} \stackrel{*}{F}) \rightarrow \dots (same for the other)Example:Fix F = Q_{X}. Then the second \Delta gives 0 \rightarrow j_{1} Q_{U} \rightarrow Q_{X} \rightarrow i_{X} Q_{Z} \rightarrow 0,which is just a segunce of shares. So if we wonted H^{1}(X, Q_{X}), wecan compare this to H^{1}(U, Q_{U}) and H^{1}(Z, Q_{Z}). (Actually the first \Delta is befor forthis.)Cach CohomologySee "Differential forms in Algebraic Topology".Them: If X is paracompact, then H^{1}(X, F) \stackrel{\circ}{=} H^{1}(X, F).Exercise: Prove Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne.Exercise: Prove Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne.Exercise: Prove Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne.$	Calready exact
$Z \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{i} U$ $Z \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{i} U$ $V = G Sh(X), Rivi' = \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow Rj_x \circ j^x = , and Rj_v \circ j^! = \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{i} Ri_x \circ i^x = . So we get$ $\lim_{h \to g} exact segurces of lypercohomology:$ $\dots \longrightarrow H^0(X, Rivi' =) \longrightarrow H^0(X, E) \longrightarrow H^0(X, Rj_v \circ j^x =) \longrightarrow \dots (same for the other)$ $\frac{Example:}{Fix F = Q_x} Then the second \Delta gives 0 \longrightarrow j_1 Q_u \longrightarrow Q_X \longrightarrow i_x Q_Z \longrightarrow 0, which is just a segurce of shaves. So if we wanted H^i(X, Q_x), we can compare this to H^i(U, Q_u) and H^i(Z, Q_Z). (Actually the first \Delta is beller for this.) \frac{Z \xrightarrow{i} Q_u}{Z \xrightarrow{i} Q_u} = \frac{Z \xrightarrow{i} Q_u}{Q_u} \xrightarrow{i} Q_u \xrightarrow{i} Q_u \xrightarrow{i} Q_u \frac{Z \xrightarrow{i} Q_u}{Q_u} \xrightarrow{i} Q_u \frac{Z \xrightarrow{i}$	Also $f_1 : Sh(x) \rightarrow Sh(y)$ produces $Df_1 : D(x) \rightarrow D(y)$. The adjoint functor is f' and is only a functor of derived categories. (Rf!, f') is the adjoint pair. We have two main distinguished triangles:
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Z Cherry Kai
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\forall F \in Sh(X), Ri_{i} \circ i^{!} F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow Rj_{X} \circ j^{*} F$, and $Rj_{i} \circ j^{!} F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow Ri_{X} \circ i^{*} F$. So we get long exact sequences of hyper-cohomology:
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{Example}^{i} \\ \hline Fix \ \ F = \mathbb{Q}_{X}, \ \ \ Thun \ \ the \ \ second \ \ \Delta \ \ gives \ \ O \longrightarrow j : \mathbb{Q}_{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{X} \longrightarrow i_{X} \mathbb{Q}_{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}, \\ \\ which \ \ is \ \ \ just \ \ a \ \ \ segunce \ \ of \ \ \ sheaves. \ \ So \ \ if \ \ we \ \ wanted \ \ \ H^{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{X}), \ we \\ \\ can \ \ compare \ \ \ this \ \ to \ \ \ H^{i}(U, \mathbb{Q}_{W}) \ \ and \ \ \ H^{i}(Z, \mathbb{Q}_{Z}). \ \ (Actually \ \ the \ \ \ first \ \ \Delta \ \ is \ \ beller \ \ for \\ \\ \\ \hline this. \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{Cell \ \ Cohomelog_{Y}}{Cell \ \ Cohomelog_{Y}} \\ \\ \hline See \ \ \ Differential \ \ forms \ \ in \ \ Algebraic \ \ \ Topology^{''}. \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \hline Thun: \ \ If \ \ X \ \ is \ \ paracompact, \ \ thun \ \ \ \ H^{i}(X,F) \ \cong \ H^{i}(X,F). \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \hline Exercise: \ \ Prove \ \ Ex. \ \ TIL. \ \ H.II \ \ in \ \ Hurtshorme. \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \hline Example: \ \ Let \ X \ \ be \ \ a \ \ schemale \ \ aud \ \ Finst \ \ Spec \ Aitshorme. \end{array}$	\rightarrow $\mathbb{H}^{\circ}(X, R_{i_{1}} \circ i' F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\circ}(X, F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\circ}(X, R_{j_{1}} \circ j^{*}F) \longrightarrow \cdots$ (same for the other)
$\frac{\mathring{C}ech}{See} \frac{\mathring{C}ohomelogy}{See} $ See "Differential forms in Algebraic Topology". $\frac{Thm:}{IF} \times is paracompact, then H^i(X,F) \cong H^i(X,F).$ $\frac{Exercise:}{Prove} Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne.$ $\frac{Example:}{Example:} Let X be a scheme and F \in @Coh(X). Take X separated and U_i = Spec \; A_i an \\ open covering of affines. Then H^i(U_i,F) = 0 for i > 0, and see the above exercise.$	Example: Fix $F = Q_X$. Then the second Δ gives $O \longrightarrow j_1 Q_U \longrightarrow Q_X \longrightarrow i_X Q_Z \longrightarrow O$, which is just a segumce of sheaves. So if we wanted $H^i(X, Q_X)$, we can compare this to $H^i(U, Q_U)$ and $H^i(Z, Q_Z)$. (Actually the first Δ is better for this.)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	<u>Cech Cohomology</u> See "Differential forms in Algebraic Topology".
Exercise: Prove Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne. <u>Example:</u> Let X be a scheme and $F \in (QCoh(X))$. Take X separated and Ui = Spec A i an open covering of affines. Then $H^i(U_i, F) = 0$ for $i > 0$, and see the above exercise.	Thm: If X is paracompact, then $\check{H}^{i}(X,F) \cong H^{i}(X,F)$.
Example: Let X be a scheme and $F \in (QCoh(X))$. Take X separated and $U_i = Spec A_i$ and open covering of affines. Then $H^i(U_i, F) = 0$ for $i > 0$, and see the above exercise.	Exercise: Prove Ex. III. 4.11 in Hartshorne.
	Example: Let X be a scheme and $F \in QCoh(X)$. Take X separated and $U_i = Spec A_i$ and open covering of affines. Then $H^i(U_i, F) = 0$ for $i > 0$, and see the above exercise.

Constructible Sheaves Assume a sheaf is a sheaf of vector spaces (over Q). Exercise 18: Let X be a "nice" connected topological space (say a manifold). Prove that there is an equivalence of categories: <u>Def</u>: A stratification of X is a decomposition into finitely many pieces $X = \coprod X_i$, such that a) each Xi is a topological manifold, b) each Xi is locally closed (open in Xi), c) Vi, Xi is a union of other strate. d) A condition on the topology (look this up). If X is a complex alg. variety, a stratification is: X1 = X smooth, X2 = (X \ X,) smooth, etc. This can be refined to a Whitney stratification. <u>Def</u>: Let X be stratified and $F \in Sh(X)$ is constructible if for all i, $F|_{X_i}$ is locally constant of finite rank. constant of finite rank. We take (typically), X = C - alg. variety, Xi = locally closed C-alg. variety. We attach to this the category: Def: Let X be a C-alg. variety. A sheaf F on X^{an} is constructible if it is constructible with respect to some stratification of X. Let $D^b_c(X) < D(X)$ be the full subcategory of complexes of sheaves with bounded cohomology (Hi(C) = 0 for 11/20) and each Hi(C) is constructible. This Let f: X -> Y be a morphism of C-alg. varieties. Then the functors Rfy, f*, Rfy, f! preserve the constructible categories. They also behave well with base change (look this up). Thm: (Verdier Dudity) There is an object Dx & D(X) called the dualizing complex, such that the contravariant functor $D_x = RHom(-, D_x) : D(x) \longrightarrow D(x) :$ 1) Preserves the constructible category 2) $D_x^2 = Id$ on $D_c^b(X)$. 3) If X is smooth, Dx = Qx [2.dim X]. Thm: There are isomorphisms of functors for f: X -> Y:

<u>Example:</u> Take a smooth C-alg. variety X, and set dim $c \times = n$. Then $D_X = Q \times [2n]$. Take $F = Q_X$, and $p: X \rightarrow pt$. Then applying the above:

 $D_{pt} \circ R_{P_1}(Q_X) = R_{P_X} \circ D_X(Q_X) = R_{P_X} \circ D_X = R_{P_X} Q_X[z_N].$

The right hand side is $(i^{\pm k} \operatorname{cohomology}) H^{i+2n}(X, Q)$, and the left hand side is $H^{-i}(X, Q)'$, which is the classical Poincare Duality! $(H^{2n-j}(X, Q) \cong H^{j}_{c}(X, Q)')$.
Note we only used constancy at $D_X(Q_x) = Q_X[2n]$. So this gives a hint at how to formulate this more generally (if say, X is not smooth), just work with objects s.f. $D_XF = F[2n]$.
<u>Thm: Poincare Duality for Singular Varieties</u> Let X be a C-alg. variety. There is a canonical object $F \in D_e^b(X)$ s.t. 1) $D_X F = F[2.dim X]$ 2) $F[_{X^{SNR}} = Q_X$ 3) $F = IC_X = intersection cohomology complex on X.$
See Asterisque volume 100 for a discussion. Étale Sheaves
Let X be a scheme (with our usual conventions). Def: Let X , colled the (small) étale site, he the cotecory mexisting of schemes over
X with structure map étale, and morphisms X-morphisms.
Similarly the Zariski / Flat site are objects $Y \rightarrow X$ open embeddings / flat + LoFT, and similar coverings (faithfully flat + g-compart in the flat case).
Have "morphisms" of sites (colloguially, not functors) $X_{fl} \rightarrow X_{et} \rightarrow X_{zar}$.
A presheaf on Xet is just a contravariant functor $P: X_{et} \rightarrow C$. The sheaf condition says for all coverings $\{U_i \rightarrow U_i^3$, the diagram
$P(u) \longrightarrow \pi P(u_i) \Longrightarrow \pi P(u_i \times_u u_j)$
is an equalizer diagram.
Examples: O) G be a discrete grp. Thun Gx = X×G = H×g is a group scheme. Gives a sheaf F(Y) = Hom(Y, Gx) (sometimes called Gx). ^{geG} Get similar examples for additive/multiplicative grps L) Let FEQCold(X). Define a pre-sheaf W(F): Sch/X → Ab, by
$W(F) (Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X) = \Gamma(Y, \alpha F).$ <u>Claim:</u> W(F) is a sheaf on the étale site (flat site).
Exercise 14: Prop. 1.5 in EC, chap2.
The exercise proves W(F) is a sheaf.

5.	given	a sheaf F	(étale	sheaf) on	Xet, we	want	a	notion	of	a	stalk.	We	make
the	natural	definition :	given a	geometric	point Xe>	ζ;							

$$F_{\overline{X}} = \lim_{\overline{X} \to U} F(U)$$

Which can be related to the heuselization.

Now taking $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$, we get that $G = Gel(\overline{k}/k)$ acts on \overline{X} , and hence on \overline{X}_{ef} by autoequivelences. Given a sheaf $F \in S(\overline{X}_{ef})$, suppose $\mathcal{O}^{\#}F \cong F$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in G$. Then we get a morphism $\Theta: H^i(\overline{X}_{ef}, \mathcal{O}^{\#}F) \longrightarrow H^i(\overline{X}_{ef}, F)$ which is an automorphism. This can give (via several difficult conjectures) to Hodge Theory!



<u>Def</u>: Let G be a finite group. A finite étale a) Grads on V/X, b) If Gx = GxX, then we have a canonical map YXGX -> YXXY, and this should be an Isomorphism.

<u>Exercise 20:</u> Let kck' be a finite Galois extension with G= Gal (k'/k). Put f: Y -> X, w/ Y= Speck', X= Speck, Prove f is a Galois covering.

fin. Sep.

<u>Prop</u>: Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a Golois covering with group G. Let F be a presheat on Xet. The group G acts on F(Y), with diagram

$$(*) F(x) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} F(y) \xrightarrow{(y) \to (y)} F(y)^{*}, G = \{\sigma_{i_1}, \dots, \sigma_{n_n}\}.$$

Proof 1.4, chep 2 in EC. 🖾

As an application, lets look at étale sheaves on Speck, $S((Speck)_{ef})$. If G is a profinite group $(G = \lim_{k \to \infty} G_i, G/G_i \text{ finite})$. A G-module M is discrete if for all mEM, Gm is a discrete group. Thus if $G = Gal(\overline{k/k})$, we have an abelian category of discrete G-modules. Claim: $S((Speck)_{ef}) \simeq$ this category.

FES ((Speck)et), We have a geometric pt. x = Speck -> Speck = x. Taking Let the stalk, we only need to look @ the system of k/k finite Galois. Checking the def'n :

$$F_{x} = \bigcup F(S_{pec} k') \bigcirc Gal(k/k)$$

$$\frac{k'_{k}}{fin. Gal}$$

and is a discrete module!

if M is a discrete Galois module, let be étale Conversely, U -> Speck => 11 = Il Speck'

Take F(U) = ⊕ M^{Gal(k/k)}. Certainly a presheat, and an application of the proposition can show its a k/k sheaf. Presheaves and Sheaves Theorem: The inclusion $f: S(x_{et}) \longrightarrow P(x_{et})$ is left exact, and has a left adjoint exact functor, sheafification: a: P(Xet) -> S(Xet). We see a) P and a P have the same stalks. 6) TFAE: i) $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F''$ is exact in S(Xet), ii) $\forall \forall \chi \in X_{et}$, $0 \rightarrow F(u) \rightarrow F'(u) \rightarrow F''(u)$ is exact in gros, iii) $\forall \overline{x} \to X$, $O \to F_{\overline{x}} \to F'_{\overline{x}} \to F'_{\overline{x}}$ is exact. c) TFAE: i) $\phi: F \rightarrow F'$ is a surjection in $S(X_{et})$, ii) $\forall U/X \in X_{et}$, $\forall S \in F(U)$, there is a covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ + elements $S_i \in F(U_i)$ such that $\phi_{u_i}(s_i) = res_{u_i}(s).$ ici) ∀x→x, Fx→Fx is surj. Examples: a) Man abelian grp. Pm be the constant presheaf Pm (4/x) = M. Define Fm = a Pm, as the constant sheaf. b) Recall sheaf Gm on Xet, represented by Spec Z[t,t-1] × Spec Z X. There is an endomorphism t is the denoted Gm is Gm. Let's look at the dervel. So we have an exact sequence $O \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{n} G_m$. Is it surjective? Claim: If (n, chor X) = 1 (<=> $\forall x \in X$, (n, char k(x)) = 1), then its surjective. Indeed let U= SpecA, $\alpha \in A^*$. Need $V \rightarrow U$ étale s.t. 3 $b \in \Gamma(V, O_V)^*$, $b^n = \alpha$. Take V = Spec B, $B = A [t]/t^n - \alpha$. But if we have the conditions on the characteristic, This is standard étale! Note that in the flat topology, this sequence is always exact! Def: The short exact seguence $1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow 1$ is called the Kummer segunce. One should think of this as the étale analog of the exponential sequence. \longrightarrow H'(Xet, Gm) \longrightarrow H(²(Xet, μ m) \longrightarrow ---Will prove (SII (Xet, Ma)) Will prove (H'(Xzan Gm) analog of the 1st later (SII chern class. PicX.

Suppose
$$X/k$$
, $\overline{k} : k$. Thus $\mu_{R} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})_{k}$ non-canonically. Turked choose
an set root of 1 in k_{3} 5. Then $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y}_{k}$, $\mu_{n}(k) : \tilde{k} \in S_{3}^{n} \dots S_{n}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
Classical Kenner Theory says:
The : Let k be a full containing all roots of 1. Let V/k be a cycle Galia
extension with Galai grp 161. Then $L = k(\infty)$, with $\infty^{2-\alpha} = k$.
Related to Hiller's Therman 90. There is an additive analysis as well. Then the
savelague of the exposure in $X/Speatric is:$
 $O \longrightarrow Spec (Fill (to t)) \longrightarrow G_{\infty} \xrightarrow{F \to k} G_{\infty} \longrightarrow O$,
where F is the Indexing, G_{α} the additive group scheme.
Exercise 21: From the the spectra F_{0} group scheme.
Exercise 21: From the the spectra $F = group choice$
 $F = Spec (Fill (to t)) \to F(X' \times k)$, and will be an actual charf
(order $-$ Innere they the spectra $T^{\alpha} : S(X_{0}) \longrightarrow S(X_{0})$. This will be
 $T^{\alpha} : Spec (f_{0} : Spectra)$.
The direct image function ins a kell adjoint $T^{\alpha} : S(X_{0}) \longrightarrow S(X_{0})$. This will be
 $T^{\alpha} : Spec (G_{0} \longrightarrow X_{1})$
 $Y = 1 \lim_{X \to Y} F(X)$.
 $T^{\alpha} : F = 1 \lim_{X \to Y} F(X) = F(X) = F(X' \times k)$, and will be an actual charf
(order t is left exact).
The direct image function ins a kell adjoint $T^{\alpha} : S(X_{0}) \longrightarrow S(X_{0})$. This will be
 $T^{\alpha} : S : Spec k(x) : Spec K_{1}$ with k/k regarked.

 $F = \lim_{X \to Y} F : \lim_{X \to Y} F(X) : F(X) = F(X) = F_{\alpha}(y)$ and $(T_{0}F)_{\overline{0}} = F(X) \times Spec G_{0,\alpha}^{\alpha} \to Y$.

 $F = \lim_{X \to Y} F : \lim_{X \to Y} F : F(X) : F : F(X) : F(X = F)_{\overline{0}} = F_{\alpha}(y)$ and $(T_{0}F)_{\overline{0}} = F(X) \times Spec G_{0,\alpha} \to Y$.

 $F = mathes. Then the inverse image function takes the restricted representation. $E = mathes. Then the inverse image function takes the restricted representation. $E = mathes. Then the inverse image function takes the restricted representation. $E = mathes. Then the inverse inverse of clube chances: $O \to G_{\alpha, X} \to g_{\alpha} G_{\alpha, X} \to G_{\alpha, X} \to O_{\alpha}$.

where g includes the gravie of the stances:

 $O \to G_{\alpha, X} \to g_{\alpha} G_{\alpha, X} \to G_{\alpha, X} \to O_{\alpha}$.$$$$